1	Alycia A. Degen, SBN 211350	
2	adegen@sidley.com Bradley J. Dugan, SBN 271870	
3	bdugan@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	
4	555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000 Los Angeles, California 90013	
5	Telephone: +1 213 896-6000 Facsimile: +1 213 896-6600	
6	Attorneys for Defendants and Specially	
7	Appearing Defendants Bayer Corporation, Bayer Essure Inc., Bayer HealthCare LLC,	
8	Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc.	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	NICOLE ALCANTARA, et al.,) Case No. 3:17-cv-01987-WHA) Order re:
13	Plaintiffs,) JOINT STIPULATION TO STAY
14	VS.) BRIEFING PENDING RULINGS ON) MOTION TO REMAND AND
15	BAYER CORP.; BAYER HEALTHCARE) MOTION TO DISMISS IN) SANGIMINO, et al. v. BAYER CORP.,
16	LLC; BAYER ESSURE INC., (F/K/A	et al.
17	CONCEPTUS, INC.); BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; and DOES 1-10,)
18	inclusive,)
19	Defendants.	
)
20)
21)
22)
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Order re: JOINT STIPULATION	TO STAV DDIEFING

Plaintiffs Nicole Alcantara, *et al.*, and defendants and specially-appearing defendants Bayer Corporation, Bayer Essure Inc., Bayer HealthCare LLC, and Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc. (collectively, "Bayer"), hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs filed their complaint on February 28, 2017, in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of Alameda. In their complaint, Plaintiffs assert claims involving the Essure® Permanent Birth Control System (the "Essure® Device").
- 2. On April 10, 2017, Bayer removed the matter from the Alameda County Superior Court to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. [Dkt. No. 1].
- 3. Bayer filed its Motion to Dismiss on April 17, 2017, on the grounds of federal preemption, among other grounds. [Dkt. No. 16]. The Motion to Dismiss is currently scheduled for hearing on June 8, 2017.
 - 4. Plaintiffs intend to file a Motion to Remand.
- 5. On April 13, 2017, this matter was deemed related to another matter pending before this Court involving the Essure® Device, captioned as *Elizabeth Ann Sangimino*, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al., Case No. 3:17-cv-01488-WHA. [Dkt. No. 12].
- 6. In the *Sangimino* matter, the Court has already set a briefing schedule on Bayer's Motion to Dismiss, which is similar to the Motion to Dismiss filed in this matter, and on Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand, which is similar to the Motion to Remand filed in this matter. The briefing schedule on those motions in *Sangimino* is as follows:
 - April 28, 2017: Bayer's deadline to respond to Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand; Plaintiffs' deadline to respond to Bayer's Motion to Dismiss;
 - May 12, 2017: Bayer's deadline to file a reply in support of the Motion to Dismiss; Plaintiffs' deadline to file a reply in support of the Motion to Remand;
 - **June 8, 2017**: Hearing on Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Remand.
- 7. In light of the close overlap between the issues being briefed in *Sangimino* and those that will be presented to the Court in this matter, the parties have met and conferred and agree that it would be in the interest of judicial economy to stay the briefing in this matter pending the Court's

Order re:

1	rulings on the Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Remand in <i>Sangimino</i> . The Parties thus respectfully		
2	request and ask the Court to enter an order in this matter staying all briefing on Bayer's Motion to		
3	Dismiss and Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand until such time.		
4			
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
6	Dated: April 25, 2017 By: <u>/s/ Kyle G. Bates</u> Kyle G. Bates		
7	SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL		
8	KONECKY WOTKYNS Attorneys for Plaintiffs Nicole Alcantara, et al.		
9			
10	Dated: April 25, 2017		
11	By:/s/ Alycia A. Degen		
12	Alycia A. Degen Bradley J. Dugan		
13	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP		
14	Attorneys for Defendants and Specially Appearing Defendants		
15 16	Bayer Corporation, Bayer HealthCare LLC, Bayer Essure Inc., and Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc.		
17	Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), regarding signatures, Alycia A. Degen hereby		
18			
19	attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from counsel for Plaintiffs.		
20	Dated: April 25, 2017 By:/s/ Alycia A. Degen Alycia A. Degen		
21	Alycia A. Degen		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	Order re:		
	JOINT STIPULATION TO STAY BRIEFING		

[PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES' STIPULATION, and for good cause shown, IT IS ORDERED THAT the deadlines for Bayer to file its anticipated Motion to Dismiss and for Plaintiffs to file their anticipated Motion to Remand are STAYED and continued pending the Court's rulings on the Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Remand in the related case Sangimino v. Bayer Corp., et al., Case No. 3:17-cv-01488-WHA. Dated: April 28, 2017